IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.70303-M of 2006
Date of Decision:- 05.04.2007

Partap Singh ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.Krishan Singh, Advocate

vs.

State of Haryana & ors. ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioner, who is a life convict under Section 302 IPC has been denied parole for house repairs on the ground that though his house requires repairs but there is an apprehension of breach of peace in the village.

In order to counter the above-stated reason, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is ready to furnish adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of Authorities to ensure that no untoward incident takes place while availing the parole.

Apart from requiring to furnish his own bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Authorities, the petitioner can also be asked to furnish surety bonds of some respectables of the village. The petitioner can be further directed to visit the Police Station concerned intermittently at least twice a week to ensure that there is no breach of peace in the village.

For the reasons afore-stated, it appears that the petitioner's case requires re-consideration.

Consequently, this petition is allowed; the impugned order

dated 12.10.2006 (Annexure P-1) is set aside and the Director General of Prisons, Haryana is directed to re-consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the observations made herein-above, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

April 05, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**